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ABSTRACT: A primary issue in biomaterials science is to design materials with ad hoc properties, depending on the specific application. Among these properties, friction is recognized as a fundamental aspect characterizing materials for many practical purposes. Recently, new and unexpected frictional properties have been obtained by exploiting hierarchical multiscale structures, inspired by those observed in many biological systems. In order to understand the emergent frictional behavior of these materials at the macroscale, it is fundamental to investigate their hierarchical structure, spanning across different length scales. In this article, we introduce a statistical multiscale approach, based on a one-dimensional formulation of the spring-block model, in which friction is modeled at each hierarchical scale through the classical Amontons–Coulomb force with statistical dispersion on the friction coefficients of the microscopic components. By means of numerical simulations, we deduce the global statistical distributions of the elementary structure at micrometric scale and use them as input distributions for the simulations at the next scale levels. We thus study the influence of microscopic artificial patterning on macroscopic friction coefficients. We show that it is possible to tune the friction properties of a hierarchical surface and provide some insight on the mechanisms involved at different length scales.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Many biological systems commonly observed in Nature are organized according to a hierarchical multiscale structure, e.g., gecko paws,1−3 insect legs,4,5 lotus leaves,6−8 or many tissues like bone, tendons, skin, etc.9−12 These types of structures exhibit remarkable mechanical properties, which have attracted much interest in the quest to understand their underlying mechanisms and to design artificial materials with improved properties through complex multiscale structural organization of microscopic components.13−17 This issue is inherently linked to research on biomaterials, in which it is essential to be able to tune the mechanical properties as desired. In particular, friction of biomaterial surfaces is an important aspect that requires accurate characterization before a material can be practically employed for specific applications.18−22

In bioinspired materials research, new properties have been obtained by mimicking the structure observed in biological systems, suggesting that the key factor lies in the hierarchical
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architecture with interacting features at different size scales, whose combined effects lead to emergent synergistic properties. This is true for friction of multistructured surfaces, where intrinsic multiscale interactions can be combined with artificially introduced ones. Friction is an emergent complex phenomenon involving many microscopic degrees of freedom that combine in determining the macroscopic friction behavior observed in experiments, and fully understanding friction in biosynthesized materials is still an open challenge.

The fundamental laws of friction were already established in the XIX century in the framework of classical mechanics, with Amontons’ law, stating that the friction force is proportional to the applied normal force and independent of the apparent contact surface, and Coulomb’s law, stating that friction is independent of the sliding velocity. However, since Galilei’s time, friction was regarded as an effect to be eliminated in order to recover the predicted behavior of the first-principles, an unavoidable disturbance of the experiments involving any mechanical device. For this reason, the microscopic origin of the friction forces was scarcely investigated up to the second half of the XX century when, thanks to the advances in material science, friction was recovered as an active subject of research.

Despite the apparent simplicity of the Amontons-Coulomb (AC) laws, it is difficult to construct a comprehensive theory for friction connecting the microscopic degrees of freedom to the macroscopic behavior since many different length scales and physical mechanisms are involved, spanning from molecular adhesion forces to surface roughness contact forces. Moreover, the basic description could be further complicated if thermal effects, wear, and specific surface geometries were included, as occurs in bioinspired materials. Thus, in order to accurately model and understand the basic mechanisms of these phenomena, many different approaches have been developed. On the one hand, the AC laws, which are valid only as a first approximation, can be improved by taking into account material elasticity and the velocity dependence in effective laws, as done in the work of Rice et al. or in refs 34–36. On the other hand, friction can be studied at the atomic level, but linking these types of description with meso- or macroscopic models remains an open challenge in tribology. For this purpose, numerical multiscale models have been proposed. These methods consist in a combination of finite element methods for large length scales and molecular dynamics methods for smaller ones, aiming to reconstruct the whole behavior of the material during the sliding phase, from the microscopic contact slip to macroscopic elastic deformation.

Another option consists in developing simplified models focused on the understanding of specific aspects of friction, e.g., the stick–slip behavior or the transition from static to kinetic friction. These models can be solved numerically with reduced computational effort compared to ab initio simulations and provide valuable insights on physical mechanisms, although they inevitably neglect other aspects in the aim to reduce the number of free parameters and usually involve only one length scale. The latter limitation can be overcome by implementing a hierarchical multiscale procedure, in which the statistical properties of the system obtained at a given scale level of simulation are used as input for the simulation of the next scale level.

These models have been already used, e.g., in fracture mechanics, where an initial statistical distribution is chosen for the rupture thresholds of the microscopic bonds to derive the global strength of the structure (e.g., a fiber bundle). The procedure can be repeated if many levels of hierarchy are present, simply by assuming that the local statistical properties of the next scale level are the resulting global properties of the previous one. This kind of model can also be applied for friction, for which similar mechanisms have been observed.

The main purpose of these statistical models is not necessarily to reconstruct the overall behavior of the system but mainly to highlight the mechanism acting in a multiscale system in order to identify their features also in experimental tests. In particular, we consider materials which are artificially designed with patterned surfaces, e.g., grooves and paws at different scales, aiming to understand how friction coefficients are affected by these surface features.

Recently, we adopted a simple one-dimensional model based on the so-called spring-block model to understand the role of hierarchical patterning in friction, i.e., a sliding surface characterized by grooves of different length scales. The spring-block model has already been used to investigate many different aspects of friction of elastic materials and fits the requirements of simplicity and statistical treatment for a hierarchical friction model.

In this article, we propose a multiscale implementation of a one-dimensional version of the spring-block model: we consider a 1-D surface discretized in masses and springs, representing an elementary system in which the statistical dispersion is assigned to the microscopic friction coefficients. Regular or hierarchical patterning can be introduced at this level in order to simulate microscopic surface structures. Through iterated simulations, we deduce the global frictional properties of the system, which are assigned as local statistical features of the next scale level. Thus, the influence of micropatterning at smaller scale levels on the properties at higher (macroscopic) levels is deduced, and it is also possible to study the friction properties of a composite material, in which the static and dynamic coefficients vary in the different segments of the surface.

The article is organized as follows: in section 2.1, we describe the model, and in section 2.2, we introduce the multiscale approach; in section 3.1, we present the benchmark results for a single level, in 3.2 those for multiscale simulations with only one type of microscopic surface structuring; in section 3.3, we combine at the second level various microscopic surface patterns to show examples of the tuning of macroscopic friction properties; in section 4, we present the conclusions.

2. 1-D SPRING-BLOCK MODEL

2.1. Model Formulation. We start from a one-dimensional formulation of the spring-block model (Figure 1): we discretize a 1-D elastic surface into mass elements connected
to their two nearest neighbors by springs of stiffness $K_{\text{int}}$. All of the blocks are connected, through springs of stiffness $K$, to a slider, which is moving at constant velocity along the $x$ direction. Hence, the contact surface is discretized in $N$ blocks along the $x$-axis, and the distance between blocks is $l$. This discretization is representative of the microscopic heterogeneity of the surface roughness.

In order to obtain the equivalence of the discretized system of masses and springs with an homogeneous elastic material, we assign the macroscopic quantities, i.e., the shear modulus $G = 5$ MPa, the Young’s modulus $E = 15$ MPa, and the mass density $\rho = 1.2$ g/cm$^3$ (typical values for an incompressible rubber-like material with Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.5$). These are related to the stiffnesses $K_{\text{int}} = EL(N - 1)/l^3$, $K = GI/l$, where $l$ and $l_i$ are the transversal dimensions, and $L = NL$ is the total length, and to the mass of the blocks $m = \rho l^3$. The blocks are in contact with an infinitely rigid plane and are subjected to a constant and uniform pressure $P$. Hence, the normal force acting on each block is $F_n = l/l^2 P$. A viscous force with damping coefficient $\gamma$ is added in order to damp artificial block oscillations.

The interaction between the blocks and the plane is modeled by using a classical AC friction force $F_{\text{ff}}$: when the block is at rest, the friction force exactly balances the horizontal force up to the static friction threshold, $F_{\text{ff}} = \mu F_n$. When the block starts to move, a constant force $F_{\text{ff}} = \mu \gamma F_n$ opposes the motion, where we have defined the static and dynamic friction coefficients as $\mu_s$ and $\mu_d$ respectively. In the following, we will drop the subscript $s$ and $d$ any time the considerations are referred to both the coefficients. In order to take into account the randomness of the surface asperities, the microscopic friction coefficients are chosen for each block from a Gaussian statistical distribution with mean value $(\mu)_m$ and standard deviation $(\sigma)_m$.

The total friction force $(F_{\text{ff}})_{m}$ is obtained through the sum of all the friction forces acting on the blocks. Thus, the macroscopic friction coefficients are obtained by dividing it for the total normal force $(F_n)_{m}$ and they will be denoted as $(\mu)_m$. The presence of patterning along the surface can be simulated by locally setting to zero the friction coefficients of some blocks corresponding to “grooves”. Complex geometries can also be simulated with this approach, enabling the determination of the corresponding friction coefficients.

The equation of motion along the sliding direction for block $i$ can be written as $m \ddot{x}_i = F_{\text{int}} + F_{\text{fr}} - m \gamma \dot{x}_i$, where $F_{\text{int}}$ is the friction force defined previously, $F_{\text{fr}} = K_{\text{int}} (x_{i+1} - x_i - 2l_i)$ is the force between blocks, and $F_{\text{fr}} = K (vt + l_i - x_i)$ is the shear force due to the slider ($l_i$ is the initial rest position of the block). Thus, we have a system of equations of motion for the blocks that can be solved numerically with a fourth-order Runge–Kutta algorithm. The friction coefficients of the blocks are randomly chosen at each run, so that averaging procedures after various repetitions of the simulation are needed to obtain the final result of an observable. We have checked that with an elementary time step of $h = 10^{-8}$ s, the systematic error due to integration is negligible with respect to the corresponding statistical uncertainty.

For the other parameters, we fixed the following values: pressure $P = 1$ MPa, transversal lengths $l_i = 1$ cm, $l = 0.1$ cm, and $\gamma = 10$ ms$^{-1}$. The slider velocity is set to $v = 0.1$ cm/s, which is much smaller than the characteristic velocity $v_i \equiv l_i \sqrt{K_{\text{int}}/m}$ of the spring-mass system ($v/v_i \approx 8 \cdot 10^{-9}$). Although the dynamic friction coefficient in general depends on the sliding velocity, for velocities of this order of magnitude or smaller, which are typical of most experiments in the literature, results are not velocity-dependent, confirming those in ref. 60 obtained with a more detailed model. The microscopic friction coefficients are assumed at the first level to be $(\mu)_m = 1.0(1)$ and $(\mu)_m = 0.50(5)$, where we denote in parentheses the standard deviations of their Gaussian distributions. Their value is conventional, but actually only their ratio influences the results, e.g., multiplying both for the same numerical factors results in a global friction coefficient multiplied by the same factor.

Finally, the microscopic length $l$ can be associated in a real case to the average distance between the contact points due to the surface roughness or due to the smallest size of the textures designed on the material surface. We use $l = 0.01$ cm, which falls in the range between one micrometer and one millimeter usually considered in typical applications and in bioinspired materials. In ref. 49, a full discussion of the role of this parameter in the spring-block model is presented. Essentially, since the stiffnesses depend on $l$, there are two regimes: $K_{\text{int}} > K$, in which the static to dynamic transition is dominated by avalanche ruptures and $K_{\text{int}} < K$, in which it is similar to a stochastic failure process. Having fixed the other parameter values, the model is always in the regime $K_{\text{int}} > K$ and the results are not affected significantly, while $l$ varies in this range. These will be considered as the default parameters, while the number $N$ of blocks will be specified for each considered case.

### 2.2. Multiscale Approach.

The multiscale approach illustrated in Figure 2 requires that the macroscopic quantities related to an ensemble at the lower scale level become the microscopic quantities of an elementary unit at the next scale level. For example, by repeating the simulations on a chain of $N^{(1)}$ blocks of size $l^{(1)}$ representing the first level, we obtain a distribution of the global friction coefficients for this ensemble. Hence, at the second level, we impose that the elementary block size is $l^{(2)} = l^{(1)} N^{(1)}$, and its microscopic friction coefficients are randomly extracted from the global distribution found at the previous level. Similarly, the mass is scaled as $m^{(2)} = m^{(1)} N^{(1)}$. The stiffnesses can be deduced from the formulas in section 2.1, $K^{(2)} = K^{(1)} l^{(1)} / N^{(1)}$ and $K^{(2)} = K^{(1)} l^{(1)} / N^{(1)}$ (we assume that the Young’s modulus and the shear modulus are constant).

This behavior is peculiar to the one-dimensional model and implies that, when increasing the scale level, $K_{\text{int}}$ becomes smaller, i.e., the internal forces become less relevant. This process in principle could be iterated many times for every scale needed to describe the material.

This multiscale approach has various advantages: first, it is possible to simulate different scales of roughness on the surface or to introduce microstructures at the smaller scale in order to study how they influence the global behavior. For example, by setting to zero the friction coefficients of certain blocks, we can simulate a microscopic patterning of grooves and pawls at the first level and determine the global friction coefficients of this ensemble, to be assumed as the elementary ones of the next scale level (as shown in Figure 2). Second, to obtain results for the higher levels, it is not necessary to repeat the simulations at the previous level: once its distribution of the global friction coefficient has been calculated with a good approximation, the microscopic coefficients of the next level are extracted directly from this distribution. This avoids the need to take into account all of the elements at smaller scales for every single simulation, which would imply a large computational time. In the
following, we will present the results for elementary systems, showing how friction coefficient distributions change as a function of patterning at the microscopic level.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Single-Level Simulations. In this section, we present the results for a single hierarchical level, in which surfaces are patterned in grooves and paws (see upper part of Figure 2). We start from a regular periodic patterning with grooves of size $l_{g}$ placed at the same distance from each other, so that half of the surface remains in contact. Given the total length of the units $L$, we denote the configuration with the ratio $\lambda \equiv l_{g}/L$. We report results of simulations for elementary units with the default system parameters of section 2.1, length $l = 0.01$ cm, and number of blocks $N = 24$; hence, the total length of the units is $L = 0.24$ cm. The comparison of the total friction force divided by the normal force, as a function of time, between smooth and patterned surfaces is shown in Figure 3: the static friction coefficient is calculated from the first peak of the friction force, while the dynamic friction coefficient is calculated from the average over the sliding phase. The statistical distributions are obtained by performing about $10^3$ repeated simulations.

Results are consistent with those from the literature,49,57,67 i.e., the static friction coefficient is reduced for larger grooves, while the dynamic coefficient is virtually unchanged (Figure 4a). This can also be observed in the statistical distributions (Figure 4b) of the macroscopic coefficients obtained with iterated simulations for the elementary units: the dynamic coefficient distribution is practically identical, while for static coefficients, in the case of large patterning, it is shifted to a smaller value. The variance is reduced, and the symmetry around the mean value is lost, and this is much more evident when the static friction distribution is close to that of the dynamic one. We have also tested a single-level random configuration, i.e., half the blocks randomly chosen have friction coefficients set to zero to simulate a random pattern of asperities. The results are similar to the case of larger grooves with static friction severely reduced.

Results show that the statistical distribution of friction coefficients can be modified by acting on the geometry of the surface, without modifying the material properties. In ref 49, we showed that by introducing hierarchical patterning, i.e., grooves of different size scales, it is possible to manipulate the static friction coefficients of a surface, obtaining, for example, a smaller static friction coefficients with a small contact area. Hence, it is possible to obtain elementary units with tailor-made statistical friction properties. In the next section, we will show how they can be combined to simulate a composite material with different types of structures on the surface. The friction properties of this system can be deduced by means of the multiscale approach described in section 2.2.

3.2. Multilevel Simulations. Let us first consider only one type of elementary unit repeated along the whole surface at the second scale level. From this structure, it is possible to deduce the global statistical distribution of the friction coefficients, which can in turn be used as input for the third level and so on. The surface displays patterning only at the lowest scale level. Using the described multiscale procedure, it is possible to derive the scaling of friction properties, by simulating their variation for increasing total system size with respect to the smallest scale at which heterogeneity and patterning appear. As an example, we study the behavior of the five microscopic structures of Figure 4a with the default set of parameters, $N^{(1)} = 24$, and $f^{(1)} = 0.01$ cm. The results for the next levels are obtained with the parameters scaled as explained in section 2.2, and number of blocks $N^{(2)} = 20$, and $N^{(3)} = 12$.

Results are shown in Figure 5. The static friction is reduced by increasing the number of hierarchical levels, i.e., the total size of the system. This is consistent with results from ref 49 and is a statistical effect, similarly to the reduction of strength with increasing specimen size observed in fracture mechanics.45 This

![Figure 2. Example of the modeling of a two-level multiscale structure: at the first level, there is patterning with two different periods or groove sizes (we denote with $\lambda^{(1)}$ the ratio between the groove size and the total size of the elementary unit). These are repeated in succession as shown in the figure at the second level, where $\lambda^{(2)}$ is the size of the structures. The friction coefficient distributions of the elementary units, deduced by means of iterated simulations of the 1-D spring-block model in the case of patterning, are used as input for the second level. The procedure can be iterated for each scale level required to describe the material.](image-url)
is also typical of static friction, which exhibits similar properties to fracture mechanics.48 On the other hand, the dynamic friction coeﬃcient slightly increases with system size but, while for single-level ensembles we do not observe differences by varying the groove size, from the second scale level, the dynamic friction is mostly increased for a nonpatterned surface and small λ (see Figure 5c). This is because dynamic friction is inﬂuenced by the statistical distribution of the static one. During the system motion, a fraction of the blocks is at rest and subjected to static friction, so that we expect a higher dynamic friction in the presence of a greater value of the static one. This is more evident from the second level, where the static friction distributions assumed at the microscopic level have different shapes due to the patterning of the surface (Figure 4b). From this, we can deduce that the eﬀect of larger grooves at the microscopic level is to reduce dynamic friction at macroscopic scales.

Finally, the standard deviations of the distributions decrease with increasing levels (Figures 5b,d): this eﬀect is due to statistics since ﬂuctuations become less inﬂuential with an increase in the size of the sample. However, this has also the eﬀect to decrease the relative reduction of the static friction for

Figure 4. (a) Comparison of the friction coeﬃcients by varying the groove size, i.e., the ratio λ. The static friction coeﬃcient decreases for larger grooves, while the dynamic one remains constant. These results are obtained on systems with the default set of parameters and a total size L = 0.24 cm. (b) Statistical distributions of the global friction coeﬃcients μM for elementary units for the case without patterning and the cases λ = 1/8 and λ = 1/2.

Figure 5. Scaling of mean values (left) and standard deviations (right) of the macroscopic friction coeﬃcient distributions as a function of the hierarchical level in the multiscale procedure, for diﬀerent types of elementary units, i.e., patterned surfaces.
higher levels, as observed in Figure 5a, which is due to the width of the statistical distribution.

### 3.3. Multiscale Structured Surfaces

We now construct the second level configurations by combining different types of elementary units. In other words, the elementary components of the second level have friction coefficients assigned from the probability distributions of the elementary units studied in section 3.1, arranged in regions of variable length, as depicted in Figure 2. In this example, units with small grooves are combined with larger ones, but other combinations can be designed, for example, smooth regions (without patterning) and patterned regions with a fixed \( \lambda^{(1)} \). Mean and variance of the distributions are taken from the results of section 3.1.

For simplicity, we will consider here only the combinations similar to those shown in Figure 2, i.e., zones of length \( L^{(2)} \) with different elementary units separated by the same distance \( \ell^{(2)} \). Given the total length at the second level \( L^{(2)} = N^{(2)} \ell^{(2)} \), we denote the second level configurations with the ratio \( \lambda^{(2)} = L^{(2)}/L^{(1)} \). Results are reported in Figure 6 and show how it is possible to tune the global properties only by changing the microscopic arrangement of the structures. When regions with different friction coefficients are created (Figure 6b), the resulting static friction coefficient is closer to the smaller value of the two, instead of being close to the average, as one would expect. This effect is due to the different average static thresholds of the two regions: when the weaker ones are exceeded, the configuration of the system is analogous to that with grooves and paws at elementary level, so that the static friction is reduced. A similar trend is observed by increasing \( \lambda^{(2)} \).

Instead, if we combine elementary units with a smooth surface (i.e., without patterning) and with small grooves (as in Figure 6a, in which \( \lambda^{(2)} = 1/12 \)), we observe at the second hierarchical level an increase of the static friction coefficient with respect to the cases without the combination discussed in section 3.2. In this case, there is a nontrivial interaction of the regions with similar global static friction coefficient but with different statistical distributions.

The dynamic friction coefficients do not change significantly with \( \lambda^{(2)} \), but they slightly increase with the same behavior observed in section 3.2.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we hierarchically extended the classical spring-block model to study the scaling of friction properties in the presence of surface structures at various size scales. This has been achieved using a one-dimensional formulation of the model, in which the surface roughness at a micrometric scale is simulated by randomly extracting the friction coefficients of each block from a statistical distribution. Surface patterning at various size scales has been simulated by removing friction from selected blocks. The resulting distributions of global friction coefficients for these units have been used as input for the scaled-up model at the next level. This kind of approach has already been used in fracture mechanics models, but we have also shown it to be appropriate for friction, where the transition from static to dynamic phase has many similarities with fracture nucleation phenomena.

We have investigated multiscale frictional behavior in the presence of patterning, recovering the previously known result that the static friction coefficient is reduced by grooves of increasing size. Moreover, by studying the behavior induced by these elementary units at a larger scale, there is a progressive reduction of static friction, as expected due to the increasing number of microscopic components. The growth of the length scale does not modify the effects of the grooves observed at the smallest scale. However, dynamic friction, which is unaffected by grooves at the microscopic level, generally increases at higher scales, mostly for elementary units with smooth surfaces and smaller grooves. The resulting statistical distributions display a reduction of the variance with increasing length scale.

We have also considered a combination of two types of elementary units, to simulate, for example, a nonpatterned area combined with an area with large grooves, alternating them at the second level. When the friction coefficients of the two units are different, the resulting static one is smaller than their average, showing a nontrivial interaction. From this, we can argue that, to considerably reduce static friction, it is sufficient to design microscopic structures, such as grooves, only on part of the surface. Dynamic friction is not affected by these kinds of combinations.

These results show that it is possible to manipulate the friction properties at the macroscopic level by means of microstructures on the surface. In general, we have shown that a multiscale statistical approach allows one to highlight the fundamental mechanisms occurring across different length scales in the friction of structured hierarchical surfaces, such as those found in biological materials. The results can be useful for the design and development of novel biomaterials with tailored frictional properties.
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